

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,078	12/11/2003	Kenneth S. Murphy	MP333	7966	
7590 10/18/2004			EXAMINER		
ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTTT, LLC			JENKINS, DANIEL J		
	NICAL CENTER, AL CENTER DRIVE	ı	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALCOA CENT	ALCOA CENTER, PA 15069-0001			1742	
			DATE MAIL CD. 10/19/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/734,078	MURPHY, KENNETH S.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Daniel J. Jenkins	1742		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	igust 2004.			
2a)[_		action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/04;5/20/04	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e		
S. Patent and Tr TOL-326 (Re	ademark Office	ion Summary Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 20040930		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/734,078

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naik.

Naik discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Naik discloses a nickel base superalloy composition comprising:

8.0-14.0% Cr;

(3-12% claimed)

3.0-10% W;

(3-10% claimed)

2.5-7.0% AI;

(5-7% claimed)

3.0-6.0% Ta;

(3-12% claimed)

to about 1% Hf;

(0.03-0.8% claimed)

0.005-1% Y;

(0.0005-0.050% claimed in claims 3 and 13)

The Examiner notes that other elements in the claimed invention have amounts ranging down to zero.

Naik further discloses at col. 8, lines 20-55, wherein an aluminide coating is upon the substrate, formed both by pack and vapor phase coating, reading upon both inwardly and outwardly diffusional coatings.

Art Unit: 1742

Furthermore, the Examiner notes that the amount of sulfur, while silent in the invention of Naik, is a known impurity to be held to a minimum, such minimums known to be 2 ppm as demonstrated by EP 0676489A.

The claims and the reference differ in that the reference does not teach an alloy that overlaps all the proportions of the claimed alloy but rather with respect to the alloying elements content closely approximate the claimed alloying elements content.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the alloying elements content taught by the reference and the claimed alloying elements are very similar and closely approximate each other, therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the alloy taught by the reference and the instantly claimed to have the same properties. See in re Peterson, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382, citing Titanium Metals Corp. v. Banner, 227 USPQ 773, 779 and MPEP 2144.05.

> "a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner ,778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed.Cir.1985)(Court held as proper a rejection of a claim directed to an alloy of "having 0.8% nickel, 0.3% molybdenum, up to 0.1% iron, bal ance titanium " as obvious over a reference disclosing alloys of 0.75 %nickel, 0.25 %molybdenum, balance titanium and 0.94%nickel, 0.31%molybdenum, bal ance titanium.).

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742